Effect of pH and calcium base saturation on clubroot severity, Holland Marsh, 2023–2024.

K. HOLY (1), B. GOSSEN (2), AND MR. MCDONALD (1)
(1) University of Guelph, Department of Plant Agriculture
(2) Saskatoon Research and Development Centre Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada

Ontario Pest Management Conference, Guelph, ON November 7th, 2024



Clubroot

Pathogen: *Plasmodiophora brassicae* (Woronin)

- Kingdom Chromista
- obligate parasite
- soil-borne

Host: Brassicaceae family

Calcium, pH, and calcium base saturation

- Calcium soil amendments used to reduce clubroot in brassica vegetables usually increase Ca levels and increase pH.
- **Calcium base saturation:** the percentage of the total cation exchange capacity of soil that is occupied by calcium cations.



Soil Amendments – Ca vs pH

Alkaline pH

- reduces root hair infection
- slows pathogen maturation

Calcium

- increases spore dormancy
- inhibits plasmodia development into sporangia

Reduced clubroot symptoms



Hypotheses and Objective

- **Hypotheses:** a) increasing both pH and calcium content reduces clubroot severity.
- b) elevating soil calcium base saturation above 80% reduces clubroot.

Objective

1) To determine the separate effects of calcium and pH on suppression of clubroot.

Treatments

- An **untreated control** is compared to:
- 1. Gypsum (CaSO₄)
 - (Rate 2023: 1.7 t/ha, Rate 2024: 1.3 t/ha)
- 2. Potassium Bicarbonate (KHCO₃)
 - (Rate 2023: 5.4 t/ha, Rate 2024: 2.1 t/ha)
- 3. Potassium Bicarbonate + Gypsum (combo)
- 4. Wollastonite (CaSiO₃)
 - (Rate 2024: 9.5 t/ha)
- 5. Hydrated Lime (Ca(OH)₂)
- (Rate 2023: 4.3 t/ha, Rate 2024: 1.5 t/ha)

Alters CBS and pH

Alters CBS

Alters pH

Note on Wollastonite

4. Wollastonite (CaSiO₃)

- (Rate 2024: 9.5 t/ha)
- Alters the pH, CBS, and adds silica to soil
- Carbon Capture Project



Canadian Wollastonite. Carbon Capture Project. https://canadianwollastonite.com/

Field Trial

- Treatments applied and rototilled to 15 cm depth
- L233P InVigor canola (*B. napus*) planted 1.5 weeks later
- Soil sampling to determine pH and CBS changes



Assessment

Harvested 6 weeks post-seeding
Wilting index during growth
(0 – 5 scale, 20 plants / plot)

- Disease severity index (0 3 scale, 50 plants / plot)
- Plant shoot weight (10 plants / plot)





Infected, Untreated Control

Minimal infection, Potassium bicarbonate

Statistical Analysis

- RCBD with 3 blocks
- ANOVA with Tukey's HSD
- Pearson correlations on disease severity vs pH and CBS



	Pre-Amendment							
Year	Treatment	Untreated						
2023	рН	6.4						
	CBS (%)	85						
2024	рН	7.0						
	CBS (%)	86						

- CBS was already elevated ~85% pre-amendment in 2023 and 2024
- Pre-amendment pH higher in 2024

	Pre-Amendment		Post-Amer	dment		
Year	Treatment	Untreated	Untreated			
2023	рН	6.4	6.4			
	CBS (%)	85	81			
2024	рН	7.0	7.0			
	CBS (%)	86	85			

• Post-Amendment untreated is consistent

	Pre-Amendment		Post-Ame	ndment		
Year	Treatment	Untreated	Untreated	Gypsum		
2023	рН	6.4	6.4	6.5		
	CBS (%)	85	81	83		
2024	рН	7.0	7.0	6.9		
	CBS (%)	86	85	84		

• No effect on pH or CBS

	Pre-Amendment		Post-Amer	ndment			
Year	Treatment	Untreated	Untreated	Gypsum	Potassium Bicarbonate		
2023	рН	6.4	6.4	6.5	7.7		
	CBS (%)	85	81	83	70		
2024	рН	7.0	7.0	6.9	7.6		
	CBS (%)	86	85	84	78		

- pH elevated
- CBS lowered

	Pre-Amendment		Post-Amendment							
Year	Treatment	Untreated	Untreated	Gypsum	Potassium Bicarbonate	Combo	Wollastonite	Hydrated Lime		
2023	рН	6.4	6.4	6.5	7.7	8.1	-	7.4		
	CBS (%)	85	81	83	70	67	-	85		
2024	рН	7.0	7.0	6.9	7.6	7.5	7.2	7.2		
	CBS (%)	86	85	84	78	78	86	86		

- pH elevated
- CBS slightly elevated (except Combo treatment)

Wilting Index



*Treatments that differed from the control (P > 0.05).



Wilting in untreated (front) versus hydrated lime (back) Holland Marsh, August 2024.

Disease Severity Index



*Treatments that differed from the control (P > 0.05).



Clubbed roots from untreated control (a) and potassium bicarbonate (b) treatments. University of Guelph, August 2024.

Dry Weight



*Treatments that differed from the control (P > 0.05).



Difference in plant weight between gypsum (left) and hydrated lime (right) treatments. Holland Marsh, August 2023.

Pearson Correlations



Conclusions

- CBS was not increased because of the high levels already in the soil.
 Clubroot was severe at CBS of 80 85%.
- Treatments that raised the soil pH reduced clubroot severity and related symptoms.
- Clubroot severity was only correlated with pH.

Adding calcium without increasing pH had no effect on clubroot severity.



Hypothesis and Objective Re-visited

Hypotheses: a) increasing both pH and calcium content reduces clubroot severity.



Treatments meant to elevate both did reduce disease, but calcium was not increased greatly.

Hypothesis and Objective Re-visited

Hypotheses: a) increasing both pH and calcium content reduces clubroot severity.



Treatments meant to elevate both did reduce disease, but calcium was not increased greatly.

b) elevating soil calcium base saturation above 80% reduces clubroot.



CBS > 80% did not reduce disease severity in muck soil.

Hypothesis and Objective Re-visited

Objective

1) To determine the separate effects of calcium and pH on suppression of clubroot.

Increased soil pH reduced clubroot

X Added calcium did not reduce clubroot

Future Research

Future Question: can we properly test the effect of CBS in the field?

Test at a mineral soil site

- Fixes soil chemistry constraints
- Applicable to canola production in Canada



Acknowledgements

Advisors: Dr. Mary Ruth McDonald and Dr. Bruce Gossen Committee Member: Dr. Josh Nasielski

All members of the McDonald Laboratory and the Ontario Crops Research Station, Bradford, ON



Funding Provided by:



References

- 1. Campbell, R. N., Greathead, A. S., Myers, D. F., & de Boer, G. J. (1985). Factors related to control of clubroot of crucifers in the Salinas Valley of California. *Phytopathology*, 75, 665–670.
- 2. Culman, S., Mann, M., & Brown, C. (2019). Calculating Cation Exchange Capacity, Base Saturation, and Calcium Saturation. Ohio State University Extension. https://ohioline.osu.edu/factsheet/anr-81
- 3. Dixon, G., & LV, P. (1998). Calcium and nitrogen eliciting alterations to growth and reproduction of Plasmodiophora brassicae (clubroot). *Acta Horticulturae*, 459, 343–349.
- Gossen, B. D, Kasinathan, H., Cao, T., Manolii, V. P., Strelkov, S. E., Hwang, S.-F., & McDonald, M. R. (2013). Interaction of pH and temperature affect infection and symptom development of Plasmodiophora brassicae in canola. *Canadian Journal of Plant Pathology*, 35(3), 294–303. https://doi.org/10.1080/07060661.2013.804882
- 5. Gossen, B. D, McDonald, M. R., Hwang, S.-F., Strelkov, S. E., & Peng, G. (2013). A comparison of clubroot development and management on canola and Brassica vegetables. *Canadian Journal of Plant Pathology*, *35*(2), 175–191.
- 6. Karling, J. S. (1968). The Plasmodiophorales (2nd ed.). Hafner Publishing Co. Inc.
- 7. Macfarlane, I. (1970). Germination of resting spores of Plasmodiophora brassicae. *Transactions of the British Mycological Society*, 55(1), 97–112. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0007-1536(70)80100-0
- 8. Myers, D. F., & Campbell, R. N. (1985). Lime and the control of clubroot of crucifers: Effects of pH, calcium, magnesium, and their interactions. *Phytopathology*, 75(6), 670–673.
- 9. Murakami, H., Tsushima, S., Kuroyanagi, Y., & Shishido, Y. (2002). Reduction of resting spore density of Plasmodiophora brassicae and clubroot disease severity by liming. *Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*, *48*(5), 685–691. https://doi.org/10.1080/00380768.2002.10409258
- 10. Wallenhammar, A. (1996). Prevalence of Plasmodiophora brassicae in a spring oilseed rape growing area in central Sweden and factors influencing soil infestation levels. *Plant Pathology*, *45*(4), 710–719.
- 11. Webster, M. A., & Dixon, G. R. (1991). Calcium, pH and inoculum concentration influencing colonization by Plasmodiophora brassicae. *Mycological Research*, 95(1), 64–73. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0953-7562(09)81362-2